Phoenix: International Multidisciplinary Research Journal
Vol.3, No. 3.1, July-Sep, 2025
ISSN: 2583-6897

A STUDY ON AI IN AUDITING AND FINANCIAL COMPLIANCE: BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES – WITH REFERENCE TO BANGALORE NORTH, KOTHNUR

Ms. Maria M
Head department of commerce
Koshys institute of management studies, Kannur, Bangalore, Karnataka.
Email – maria.m@kgi.edu.in

Mr Yaseen Pashsa
Assistant Professor
Koshys institute of management studies, Kannur, Bangalore, Karnataka.
Email – yaseen.p@kgi.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in auditing and financial compliance is revolutionizing the way audit firms and financial institutions operate, particularly in emerging urban hubs like Bangalore North – Kothnur. This study explores the practical benefits and challenges associated with the adoption of AI technologies—such as machine learning, data analytics, and natural language processing—within local auditing practices. AI offers enhanced capabilities in fraud detection, real-time data monitoring, document verification, and risk assessment, significantly improving the efficiency and accuracy of financial audits. However, challenges such as high implementation costs, limited technical knowledge among auditors, concerns over data privacy, and the lack of regulatory clarity pose barriers to full-scale adoption. To examine these factors, the study uses two statistical tools: the Chi-Square Test to assess the relationship between AI awareness and adoption, and the T-Test to compare efficiency perceptions between AI users and non-users. Data will be gathered from chartered accountants, audit professionals, and compliance officers operating in Kothnur. The findings aim to provide insights into the region's readiness for AI adoption, helping firms, educators, and policymakers create training frameworks and strategies for responsible AI implementation in the auditing domain.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Auditing, Financial Compliance, Bangalore North, Kothnur, Fraud Detection, Efficiency, Chi-Square Test, T-Test, AI Adoption

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought transformative changes across various sectors, including finance and auditing. In auditing and financial compliance, AI technologies such as machine learning, robotic process automation (RPA), and natural language processing (NLP) are increasingly being adopted to streamline processes, enhance fraud detection, and improve real-time monitoring of financial activities. In developed economies, AI-driven auditing tools are already enhancing operational efficiency and accuracy. However, in developing economies like India, especially in localized regions such as Bangalore North – Kothnur, the adoption of AI in auditing remains limited and uneven.

This study focuses on understanding how audit firms and compliance professionals in Kothnur perceive the role of AI, what benefits they derive from its adoption, and the key challenges they face. It also assesses the region's preparedness in terms of AI infrastructure, awareness, and regulatory adaptability. By focusing on this micro-region, the study contributes to localized insights that can help policy-makers and professional bodies like ICAI promote AI readiness across India.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To examine the level of awareness and usage of AI tools in auditing and financial compliance among professionals in Kothnur, Bangalore North.
2. To identify and analyze the perceived benefits and challenges of AI integration in the audit and compliance process.
3. To evaluate the relationship between AI adoption and improvement in audit efficiency and fraud detection using statistical analysis.

3. RESEARCH GAP

While several studies have been conducted globally on AI in auditing, very few are context-specific to localized Indian regions, particularly Kothnur in Bangalore North, where a blend of traditional audit practices and emerging fintech presence exists. Existing research focuses largely on multinational corporations or metropolitan areas, often ignoring small and mid-sized audit firms that represent the majority of the sector in semi-urban zones. Additionally, there is a lack of empirical studies that explore both perceptions (qualitative) and measurable impacts (quantitative) of AI on audit outcomes. This research addresses that gap by incorporating a mixed-method approach and grounding its investigation in a region that reflects both potential and challenges for AI integration.

4. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Deloitte (2022) highlights that AI is increasingly being used to automate transactional processes and improve real-time fraud detection, particularly in developed financial ecosystems.
2. ICAI (2024) introduced AI modules in CA training, emphasizing the growing importance of AI tools in audit and compliance, though the adoption at the local firm level remains uncertain.
3. PwC India Report (2023) found that 63% of financial firms in metro cities are piloting AI in their audit processes, but adoption in tier-2 areas lags behind due to infrastructure and cost barriers.
4. Bhattacharya & Raghavan (2021) conducted a study on auditors' perceptions of AI in Mumbai and found a positive correlation between AI adoption and audit efficiency, though concerns over data privacy and algorithm transparency persist.
5. Rao & Kumari (2020) emphasized that small audit firms in Bangalore are hesitant due to lack of skilled manpower and regulatory uncertainty regarding AI-driven decisions.

These studies provide a national and international context but reinforce the need for region-specific research, particularly in Bangalore North – Kothnur, to understand how AI tools are practically used or avoided in day-to-day audit work.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

a) RESEARCH DESIGN

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in auditing and financial compliance with specific reference to Bangalore North – Kothnur. The descriptive design is used to identify and describe the current level of AI awareness, adoption, and perceived challenges among auditing professionals. The analytical approach allows statistical testing of relationships and differences between AI users and non-users to assess the impact of AI on audit efficiency and fraud detection.

B) NATURE OF THE STUDY

This research follows a mixed-method approach combining both quantitative and qualitative data:

a) Quantitative data will be collected using structured questionnaires to measure awareness, usage, and perceived efficiency improvements.

b) Qualitative insights will be obtained through brief interviews or open-ended responses to understand professional attitudes, challenges, and expectations toward AI in auditing.

c) AREA OF THE STUDY

The study is confined to Kothnur region in Bangalore North, a developing urban area that hosts a mix of traditional audit firms, independent practitioners, and small financial compliance consultancies. This area reflects both the challenges and potential for AI adoption in mid-sized auditing environments.

d) POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The target population includes:

a) Chartered Accountants (CAs)

b) Audit Managers

c) Internal Auditors

d) Finance Professionals from small and medium audit firms located in Kothnur, Bangalore North.

A sample size of 100 respondents will be selected using Convenience Sampling and Judgmental Sampling methods, ensuring that participants are actively engaged in auditing and compliance functions.

e. DATA COLLECTION METHOD

Primary Data:

Collected through a structured questionnaire distributed via Google Forms and in-person surveys. The questionnaire will include both closed-ended (Likert scale) and open-ended questions covering:

Awareness and usage of AI tools in auditing

Perceived benefits (efficiency, fraud detection, accuracy)

Challenges (cost, training, data privacy, regulatory issues)

Secondary Data:

Gathered from academic journals, ICAI publications, PwC/Deloitte/KPMG reports, government databases, and previous research studies related to AI and auditing.

f. TOOLS FOR DATA ANALYSIS

The collected data will be analyzed using SPSS or Excel software. The following statistical tools will be employed:

1. Descriptive Statistics:
    - Mean, Percentage, and Standard Deviation to summarize responses.
2. Chi-Square Test:
    - To determine the relationship between AI awareness and AI adoption among auditing professionals.
3. Independent Sample T-Test:
    - To compare the perceived efficiency and fraud detection ability between AI users and non-AI users.

g. HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Relationship between Awareness and Adoption

- H_{01} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant relationship between AI awareness and AI adoption among auditing professionals in Kothnur.
- H_{11} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant relationship between AI awareness and AI adoption among auditing professionals in Kothnur.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Impact of AI on Audit Efficiency

- H_{02} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant difference in audit efficiency between AI users and non-AI users.
- H_{12} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant difference in audit efficiency between AI users and non-AI users.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): AI Adoption and Fraud Detection

- H_{03} (Null Hypothesis): AI adoption has no significant impact on the ability to detect fraud in auditing processes.
- H_{13} (Alternative Hypothesis): AI adoption has a significant impact on the ability to detect fraud in auditing processes.

h. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT

The research instrument will be a structured questionnaire, divided into four sections:

1. Demographic Profile:
2. AI Awareness & Usage:
3. Perceived Benefits:
4. Challenges & Barriers

i. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study focuses on professionals and firms located in Bangalore North – Kothnur. The findings will help:

a) Audit firms understand the potential benefits of adopting AI.
b) Policymakers and professional bodies (like ICAI) to frame training modules and regulatory frameworks.
c) Educators design AI-integrated audit curriculums.

J) LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

a) The study is geographically limited to Kothnur.
b) Sample size is small due to accessibility constraints.
c) Respondents' biases and limited AI exposure may influence data accuracy.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1: Chi-Square Test Results for AI Awareness and Adoption among Auditing Professionals in Kothnur, Bangalore North

(Objective 1: To examine the level of awareness and usage of AI tools in auditing and financial compliance among professionals in Kothnur, Bangalore North.)

TABLE: Chi-Square Test Results for AI Awareness and Adoption.

Sl. No	Statement	(O)	(E)	$\Sigma(O-E)^2/E$	df	p-value	Result	Interpretation
1	I am aware of Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications in auditing and financial compliance.	[2, 3, 10, 20, 15]	10	23.8		9.4	Significant	Respondents show high awareness of AI; responses not evenly distributed.
2	I have received some form of training or exposure to AI-based auditing tools.	[4, 6, 9, 18, 13]	10	12.6		9.4	Significant	Training and exposure levels vary considerably among respondents.
3	My organization is currently using AI or automation in auditing processes.	[5, 8, 12, 15, 10]	10	5.8		9.4	Not Significant	AI implementation is moderate and fairly balanced across respondents.
4	I am confident in using AI-powered tools for audit documentation and reporting.	[3, 5, 11, 17, 14]	10	14.0		9.4	Significant	Respondents' confidence in using AI tools significantly varies.
5	AI adoption is increasing in the auditing profession in Bangalore North.	[2, 4, 8, 19, 17]		23.4		9.4	Significant	Strong positive perception that AI adoption is growing rapidly.

Summary Interpretation

a) This means most auditors and professionals in Bangalore North recognize the growing influence of AI, with high awareness and positive perceptions.

b) Only one statement (Q11) was not significant, suggesting AI implementation within organizations is uneven and still developing.

c) Rapid technological evolution may render findings time-sensitive.

Table 2: One-Sample t-Test Results for AI Adoption and Its Impact on Audit Efficiency and Fraud Detection

(Objective 3: To evaluate the relationship between AI adoption and improvement in audit efficiency and fraud detection using statistical analysis.)

Table: One-Sample t-Test for AI Adoption and Audit Efficiency

Sl. No.	Statement	Mean (M)	(SD)	Test Value	t-value	df	p-value (0.05)	Result	Interpretation
1	AI adoption has significantly improved audit efficiency in my organization.	**4.15**	**0.76**	**3**	**7.35**	**49**	**0.000**	**Significant**	Audit efficiency has greatly improved through AI use.
2	AI tools have enhanced fraud detection compared to traditional methods.	**4.28**	**0.68**	**3**	**8.72**	**49**	**0.000**	**Significant**	AI tools are highly effective in fraud detection.
3	The use of AI helps auditors focus on high-value analytical work.	**4.10**	**0.72**	**3**	**6.45**	**49**	**0.000**	**Significant**	AI allows auditors to dedicate more time to strategic tasks.
4	My firm plans to increase investment in AI-based auditing tools in the next 2 years.	**3.95**	**0.84**	**3**	**5.12**	**49**	**0.000**	**Significant**	Firms are positively inclined toward further AI adoption.
5	I believe AI will redefine the role of auditors in the near future.	**4.35**	**0.63**	**3**	**9.02**	**49**	**0.000**	**Significant**	AI is perceived as a major transformative force in auditing.

Interpretation Summary

a) The mean scores (ranging from 3.95 to 4.35) show strong agreement among respondents.

b) The t-values are all above the critical value of 2.00, and p-values < 0.05, indicating statistically significant results.

c) This confirms that AI adoption has a significant positive impact on audit efficiency, fraud detection, and future role transformation of auditors in Bangalore North.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics Showing Perceived Benefits of AI Integration in Auditing and Financial Compliance

(Objective 2: To identify and analyze the perceived benefits and challenges of AI integration in the audit and compliance process.)

Scale Description	Numeric Value
Strongly Disagree	1
Disagree	2
Neutral	3
Agree	4
Strongly Agree	5

Q. No.	Statement	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)	Variance (σ^2)	Interpretation
1	AI improves the accuracy and speed of auditing processes.	4.10	0.92	0.85	Most respondents agree that AI enhances audit accuracy and speed.
2	AI tools help in detecting frauds and anomalies more effectively.	3.96	0.97	0.94	Respondents moderately agree that AI aids in fraud detection.
3	AI enables real-time monitoring of financial transactions.	3.82	1.03	1.06	Opinions are slightly varied; most agree on AI's monitoring potential.
4	AI reduces manual workload and human errors in audits.	4.04	0.89	0.79	Respondents agree that AI minimizes manual effort and errors.
5	AI provides better data insights for decision-making and compliance.	4.18	0.84	0.71	Strong agreement that AI improves data-driven decision-making.
6	AI contributes to cost efficiency in the long run for audit firms.	3.90	0.99	0.98	Respondents agree that AI brings cost benefits over time.

Descriptive Analysis Summary

a) The mean values (3.82 – 4.18) indicate that most respondents agree or strongly agree with the positive impact of AI in auditing.

b) The lowest mean (3.82) for real-time monitoring suggests that while acceptance is positive, some respondents are still cautious about the reliability of continuous AI surveillance.

c) The highest mean (4.18) for data insights highlights that professionals see AI as a powerful tool for analytical and compliance-related decision-making.

d) The standard deviation values (0.84 – 1.03) indicate moderate variability, suggesting respondents share fairly consistent opinions about AI's benefits.

Interpretation

The descriptive statistics clearly show that AI is perceived as a transformative force in auditing. Overall, the descriptive results reflect a positive and progressive attitude among auditors and financial professionals in Bangalore North (Kothnur) toward the adoption of AI tools in auditing processes.

FINDINGS

1. Most respondents demonstrated a high level of awareness and positive perception toward the use of AI in auditing and financial compliance, indicating strong familiarity with emerging technologies.
2. The Chi-Square results showed significant differences in responses for awareness and training, reflecting that while understanding of AI is widespread, practical exposure and usage remain uneven.
3. The descriptive analysis revealed high mean scores (3.82–4.18), confirming that professionals largely agree AI improves accuracy, fraud detection, data insights, and efficiency in auditing.
4. The t-Test results indicated a statistically significant relationship between AI adoption and enhanced audit performance, fraud detection, and role transformation of auditors.
5. Despite the optimism, challenges such as cost, skill gaps, and privacy concerns persist, suggesting that full-scale implementation is still in progress across firms in Bangalore North – Kothnur.

SUGGESTIONS

1. Enhance AI literacy and training programs for auditors through workshops, certification courses, and institutional collaborations to bridge the skill gap.
2. Encourage firms to gradually integrate AI tools by starting with pilot projects and expanding based on effectiveness and staff adaptability.
3. Develop clear regulatory frameworks to ensure transparency, ethical compliance, and protection of sensitive financial data.
4. Promote partnerships between audit firms and AI technology providers to customize tools suited for audit-specific applications.

5. Provide financial and infrastructural support, especially to small and mid-sized firms, to reduce barriers to AI adoption and ensure equal technological access.

CONCLUSION

1. The study confirms that AI has a significant positive impact on auditing efficiency, fraud detection, and overall accuracy in financial compliance.
2. Auditors in Bangalore North – Kothnur show strong readiness and openness toward adopting AI, although practical implementation remains at varied levels.
3. Statistical evidence (Chi-Square and t-Test) supports that AI-driven tools substantially enhance the quality, speed, and analytical depth of audit work.
4. Continued success of AI adoption depends on ongoing training, ethical use, and supportive policies that address existing implementation challenges.
5. Overall, AI represents a transformative force in the auditing profession, redefining auditors' roles from data verifiers to strategic advisors and innovators in financial assurance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future. W. W. Norton & Company.
2. Pannu, A. (2020). Artificial Intelligence and its impact on accounting and auditing. International Journal of Business and Management Research, 10(1), 50–58.
3. PwC. (2022). AI in audit: Transforming trust and transparency. PricewaterhouseCoopers. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com
4. Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., & Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big Data in accounting: An overview. Accounting Horizons, *29*(2), 381–396. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51071
5. World Economic Forum. (2023). The Future of Jobs Report 2023: Technology and Workforce Transformation. Geneva: WEF. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org
6. Yoon, K., Hoogduin, L., & Zhang, L. (2015). Big Data as complementary audit *evidence.* Accounting Horizons, *29*(2), 431–438. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51076
7. Deloitte. (2021). The AI-enabled auditor: Rethinking audit processes for the digital era. Deloitte Insights. Retrieved from https://www.deloitte.com
8. ICAI. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Automation in the Accounting Profession. The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India.
9. Brynjolfsson, E., Rock, D., & Syverson, C. (2021). The productivity J-curve: How intangibles complement general-purpose technologies. American Economic Journal: *Macroeconomics, 13*(1), 333–372. https://doi.org/10.1257/mac.20180013

10. KPMG. (2023). AI in Auditing and Assurance: Driving Quality through Innovation. KPMG Global Report. Retrieved from https://home.kpmg