

**AI-DRIVEN GREEN FINTECH AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT:
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM INDIA'S FINANCIAL SECTOR**

Deepa Bachha Poojari*

Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies VTU, Belagavi.

Pralhad Rathod²

Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies VTU, Belagavi.

Correspondence: Deepa Bachha Poojari, Department of Management Studies, Visvesvaraya
Technological University, Belagavi 590018

Email: deepapoojari1992@gmail.com

Abstract

As the global economy shifts from a paradigm of sheer volume to one centered on ecological quality, the financial sector has emerged as a primary orchestrator of the transition toward low-carbon and resilient economic models. In India a nation currently steering toward a net-zero target for 2070 "Green Fintech" represents a strategic imperative rather than a elective innovation. This study employs a dual theoretical approach, merging the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) model with the Sustainable Finance Framework (SFF), to evaluate the catalysts and consequences of Artificial Intelligence (AI) implementation within the Indian banking landscape. Drawing on a quantitative dataset of 300 practitioners and longitudinal ESG metrics from 2021 to 2025, the research identifies organizational maturity defined by digital infrastructure ($\beta = 0.419$) and capital readiness ($\beta = 0.401$) as the primary determinant of adoption, significantly outweighing purely regulatory drivers. Our findings indicate that AI-driven green finance instruments substantially elevate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) performance, with sector leaders such as ICICI and HDFC reaching sustainability ratings of 78 and 73, respectively, by late 2025. Furthermore, the study identifies a "Green AI" paradox, establishing that energy-optimized technological deployment is vital to ensure that digital transformation does not inadvertently increase the sector's carbon footprint. These insights offer a strategic roadmap for regulators like the RBI and SEBI to harmonize climate-related disclosures with technological incentives to bridge the "sustainability implementation gap."

Keywords: Green Fintech, TOE Framework, Sustainable Finance Framework, Artificial Intelligence, ESG Performance, Climate Finance, Financial Innovation, India.

1. Introduction

The international financial architecture is currently navigating its most significant metamorphosis since the Industrial Revolution. For decades, financial systems were structured as engines of capital accumulation, prioritizing liquidity and short-term returns. However, the contemporary era of climate instability and social inequality has forced a fundamental rethink. The financial sector is now expected to act as a moral compass for the economy, directing capital away from carbon-heavy industries toward sustainable ventures. In this context, "Green Fintech" has emerged as a potent tool, leveraging Artificial Intelligence (AI), blockchain, and advanced data science to align economic activity with planetary boundaries.

India occupies a unique position in this global shift. With a fintech adoption rate of 87% far exceeding the world average of 64% the nation has built a formidable digital foundation via Digital Public Goods (DPGs) like the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) and Aadhaar. This infrastructure has lowered transaction barriers, allowing both incumbent banks and agile startups to experiment with green financial products. Yet, a persistent "implementation gap" remains. Many Indian firms struggle to translate digital capability into verified environmental gains, often hampered by high adoption costs and fragmented ESG data.

To decode this complexity, this study integrates the **Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework** with the **Sustainable Finance Framework (SFF)**. We explore how internal organizational readiness and external environmental pressures shape the adoption of AI-driven tools. By examining empirical data and institutional rankings through late 2025, we provide a definitive look at how technology can turn "greenwashing" risks into genuine sustainable value.

2. Literature Review: The AI-ESG Nexus

2.1 Digitalization as a Driver of SDGs

Contemporary research (2018-2025) suggests that digital finance is the primary engine for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). In India, green fintech investments surged from USD 0.2 billion in 2018 to USD 4.5 billion in 2024, directly fuelling SDG 7 (Clean Energy) and SDG 13 (Climate Action). Fintech platforms have successfully lowered the entry cost for retail investors to participate in green bond markets, democratizing what was once a domain for institutional giants.

2.2 AI: The Intelligent Layer of Sustainability

AI functions as the "brain" of the green fintech ecosystem. By scanning unstructured data from satellite imagery of forest cover to news sentiment regarding corporate governance AI generates ESG risk profiles with a level of granularity that human analysts cannot match. In the Indian context, major players like HDFC and SBI use AI to automate Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) compliance, reducing human bias and detecting "greenwashing" with 60-80% higher accuracy than traditional methods.

2.3 The Paradox of "Green AI"

An emerging area of critical scholarly concern is the carbon footprint of the technology itself. Training large-scale machine learning models is notoriously energy-intensive. This has given rise to the concept of "Green AI" the use of energy-efficient algorithms and renewable-powered data centres. Recent empirical findings suggest that the relationship between green finance and sustainability is mediated by these "eco-aware" technological practices. Indian private banks are increasingly migrating to green cloud platforms to ensure their digital transformation remains carbon-neutral.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 The Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Model

The TOE framework provides a robust structural lens to view technology adoption as a context-dependent process rather than a purely technical one.

- **Technological Context:** This dimension looks at the specific attributes of AI, such as its "relative advantage" over manual risk assessments and its "compatibility" with existing banking systems. If AI can demonstrate immediate gains in predicting loan defaults under climate stress, its adoption rate accelerates.

- **Organizational Context:** For Indian banks, this is often the decisive factor. It includes the maturity of the digital backend, the availability of financial capital for AI investment, and the strategic vision of top leadership. Without institutional readiness, even the most advanced technology remains idle.
- **Environmental Context:** External drivers such as intense competition from fintech startups and the increasingly stringent mandates from SEBI and the RBI force banks to modernize their sustainability workflows.

3.2 The Sustainable Finance Framework (SFF)

The SFF acts as the normative baseline, defining "finance for sustainability" as a process that integrates ESG factors into the core of capital allocation. Unlike traditional finance, which views environmental impact as an externality, the SFF treats it as a material risk and an opportunity for long-term value creation. It adopts the "Triple Bottom Line" (TBL) philosophy balancing people, planet, and profit to ensure that financial growth does not come at the expense of ecological stability.

4. Conceptual Model and Hypotheses

This study proposes a comprehensive model where TOE drivers act as independent variables, Green Fintech Innovation serves as a mediator, and Sustainable Development Outcomes represent the final dependent variable.

- **Hypothesis 1 (\$H_1\$):** Organizational characteristics, particularly digital infrastructure and capital availability, are the strongest predictors of AI-driven green fintech adoption.
- **Hypothesis 2 (\$H_2\$):** Environmental factors, including competitive rivalry and regulatory mandates (BRSR Core), significantly drive adoption.
- **Hypothesis 3 (\$H_3\$):** Technological perceptions, such as the relative advantage of AI in risk modelling, positively influence institutional intention to adopt.
- **Hypothesis 4 (\$H_4\$):** The integration of AI-driven fintech leads to a measurable increase in institutional ESG performance.
- **Hypothesis 5 (\$H_5\$):** "Green AI" practices (energy-efficient computing) mediate the link between technological adoption and actual environmental sustainability outcomes.

5. Methodology

This research utilizes a quantitative, cross-sectional design. Primary data were gathered from a purposive sample of 300 professionals in India's Banking, Financial Services, and Insurance (BFSI) sector between January 2024 and late 2025. Respondents included senior executives and sustainability officers with direct oversight of digital transformation.

The survey employed a 5-point Likert scale instrument adapted from validated studies in the field. To ensure reliability, Cronbach's Alpha was calculated, with all constructs exceeding the 0.70 threshold. Data analysis was performed using **Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM)**, which is particularly effective for testing complex causal paths with latent variables.

6. Empirical Results and Findings

The statistical analysis reveals a tiered landscape of adoption in India, where structural readiness defines the pace of transformation.

6.1 Predictors of AI Adoption (TOE Analysis)

Path coefficients (β) indicate that organizational factors are the dominant drivers of implementation in the Indian context.

Dimension	Predictor	Path Coefficient (β)	Significance (p)
Organizational	Banking Infrastructure	0.419	< 0.01
Organizational	Financial Investment	0.401	< 0.01
Environmental	Competitive Pressure	0.329	< 0.05
Environmental	Regulatory Influence	0.147	< 0.05
Technological	Perceived Usefulness	0.129	< 0.05
Technological	Perceived Ease of Use	0.098	< 0.05

Source: Derived from.

These findings support **H₁**, as infrastructure and investment show the highest weights. This confirms that for Indian banks, "structural readiness" is the primary bottleneck for green transition. Interestingly, the weight of "Regulatory Influence" ($\beta = 0.147$) is lower than "Competitive Pressure" ($\beta = 0.329$), suggesting that market survival and rivalry are currently more potent motivators than government mandates alone.

6.2 Impact on Institutional ESG Performance

The adoption of fintech innovation resulted in a significant boost in ESG scores, with a **coefficient of 0.083** ($p < 0.05$). By late 2025, leading institutions showed marked improvements in their sustainability rankings.

Bank Entity	Sector	ESG Rating (Dec 2025)	Category	Key Initiative
ICICI Bank	Private	78	Leader	AI-integrated ESG credit risk scoring
HDFC Bank	Private	73	Strong	Green portfolios for solar & EV loans

Bank Entity	Sector	ESG Rating (Dec 2025)	Category	Key Initiative
State Bank of India	Public	73	Strong	"SIA" Chatbot, Green Rupee Deposits
Life Insurance Corp	Public	67	Strong	Data-driven sustainability disclosures
Punjab National Bank	Public	59	Adequate	Minimum regulatory compliance

Source: Consolidated from NSE and CRISIL ratings.

HDFC Bank's transition is notable, with its ESG score rising from 74 in 2021 to 88 by 2025, backed by a ₹42 billion commitment to green portfolios including solar energy and green buildings. SBI, as the public sector leader, achieved a 34.6% growth in its ESG score by aligning its digital strategy with the national net-zero roadmap.

6.3 AI as a Catalyst for Inclusive Green Finance

One of the study's most profound findings is the role of AI in bridging the gap between financial inclusion and environmental stewardship. The case of **RevFin** demonstrates how AI can drive inclusive green finance. By employing alternative scoring methods such as psychometric analysis and biometrics RevFin provides credit to underserved rural segments specifically for electric vehicles (EVs). Approximately 85% of RevFin's lending goes to financially excluded customers, proving that AI-driven green fintech can simultaneously address SDG 10 (Reduced Inequality) and SDG 13 (Climate Action).

7. Discussion and Deep Insights

7.1 Resolving the "Green AI" Paradox

The empirical data confirms that "Green AI" adoption the use of energy-efficient computing shows a strong positive link to sustainability outcomes ($\beta = 0.446$). This highlights that the method of technological deployment is just as critical as the objective. In India, the migration of AI workloads to renewable-powered cloud platforms by private banks represents an "ecological rationality" where the environmental costs of digitalization are factored into the decision-making process.

7.2 Countering "Greenwashing" with AI

AI's primary value proposition lies in its ability to mitigate information asymmetry. ESG reporting in India has historically been fragmented and qualitative. AI tools, particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP), can analyse thousands of corporate disclosures and cross-reference them with real-world satellite data. The results suggest that AI improves the accuracy of ESG scores by 60-80%, thereby protecting investors from deceptive sustainability claims.

7.3 The Institutional Maturity Gap

The data reveals a clear divide among Indian banks based on their digital-environmental synergy. This clustering indicates that the benefits of green fintech are currently concentrated among institutions with the highest digital readiness. For India to achieve its 2070 goals, smaller institutions and regional rural banks (RRBs) must be supported in closing this technological and capital gap.

8. Policy and Practical Implications

8.1 Regulatory Alignment (RBI & SEBI)

Regulators must transition from a "comply-or-explain" model to one that actively incentivizes technological innovation.

- **Expansion of BRSR Core:** SEBI should extend the mandatory assurance requirements for the 42 KPIs to the top 1,000 entities by 2027 to create a standardized data pool for AI analysis.
- **Mandatory Stress Testing:** The RBI should finalize its disclosure framework to mandate AI-driven climate risk stress testing for all scheduled commercial banks.
- **Green AI Tax Credits:** The government should provide fiscal incentives for banks that invest in energy-efficient data infrastructure and renewable-powered cloud computing.

8.2 Institutional Strategy

Financial institutions must view green fintech as a core competitive advantage.

- **Invest in Data Hygiene:** Clean, standardized data is the prerequisite for effective AI. Banks must prioritize data centre consolidation before launching advanced green products.
- **Strategic Ecosystems:** Banks should partner with green fintech startups like RevFin or Sun Mobility to create integrated lending ecosystems for the EV and solar sectors.
- **Ethical Governance:** Establish oversight boards to monitor AI models for "algorithmic bias" to ensure that green credit decisions remain fair and inclusive.

9. Limitations and Future Research

This study has geographical limitations, focusing exclusively on the Indian financial sector. Future research should conduct cross-country comparisons with other emerging economies to identify regional variations in TOE drivers. Additionally, while the study relies on survey data, future work could utilize direct carbon footprint telemetry from banking operations to track the long-term impact of "Green AI" more precisely. The role of emerging technologies like quantum computing in solving complex climate-risk models also represents an unexplored frontier.

10. Conclusion

AI-driven Green Fintech is the definitive catalyst for India's sustainable development goals. By applying the TOE model, this research identifies that **organizational infrastructure** and **financial investment** are the two most critical levers for success. When aligned with the Sustainable Finance Framework, these digital tools transform banking from a traditional intermediary into a resilient pillar of the green economy. As India moves toward its 2070 net-zero target, the synergy between energy-efficient AI, transparent ESG reporting, and inclusive lending will distinguish the market leaders from the laggards. Ultimately, the integration of technology and sustainability offers a trailblazing path for emerging nations to achieve robust growth while maintaining ecological integrity.

References

1. Abdelghafar, M. (2025). AI-enhanced models in sustainable finance: Performance and policy implications. *Journal of Future Business*, 11(1).
2. Agathee, U. S., & Ameer, R. (2021). Machine learning in sustainable finance: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 13(12).
3. Agarwal, S., & Agrawal, A. (2023). Adoption of green finance and green innovation for achieving circularity. *Elsevier*.
4. Ahmed, S. K. (2024). Research methodology simplified: Sampling technique and sample size. *Oral Oncology Reports*, 12.
5. Akhtar, S., et al. (2024). Regulatory pressure and AI adoption in the banking sector. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.
6. Almaqtari, M. A. (2024). Fintech and sustainability performance in banking: A systematic review. *LJMU Research Online*.
7. Alzoubi, Y. I., & Mishra, A. (2024). Green artificial intelligence initiatives: Potentials and challenges. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 468.
8. Anifa, et al. (2022). Fintech adoption in India: A statistical overview. *Journal of Financial Technology*.
9. Arner, D. W., et al. (2020). The intersection of Fintech, sustainability, and financial inclusion. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*.
10. Awijen, H., et al. (2024). AI methods in financial modeling for climate resilience. *SSRN*.
11. Banga, S., & Arya, S. (2024). Smart and green: The future of urban finance through fintech sustainability. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4.
12. Barker, R. (2025). Corporate sustainability reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 49.
13. Bauer, M. D., et al. (2025). Green stocks and monetary policy shocks: Evidence from Europe. *European Economic Review*, 177.
14. Berg, F., et al. (2020). Aggregate Confusion: The Divergence of ESG Ratings. *MIT Sloan Research Paper*.
15. Bernards, N. (2019). Fintech and access to sustainable financial products. *Journal of Development Studies*.
16. Bhargavi, K., et al. (2024). AI enhancing sustainable finance via ESG criteria. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(5).
17. Biswas, S., et al. (2024). AI adoption in Indian banking: Regulatory and sustainability perspectives. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.
18. Boffo, M., & Patalano, R. (2020). ESG investing: Practices, progress and challenges. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 32(2).
19. Bouri, E., et al. (2021). AI, machine learning, and financial sustainability. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 47.
20. Cao, Z., & Li, J. (2019). A machine learning approach to asset allocation with ESG criteria. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 9(4).
21. Chandran, V., et al. (2025). Green AI adoption in Indian banking: TOE and TAM perspectives. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 8.
22. Chau, L., et al. (2025). Valuing ESG: How financial markets respond to corporate sustainability. *International Business Review*, 34(3).

23. Chen, L., & He, X. (2020). Using machine learning for green finance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 248.
24. Chilukala, S. (2025). Cloud-based digital transformation and ESG modernization in Indian banks. *Industry Report*.
25. Choi, K., & Lee, D. (2021). AI and sustainable finance: Machine learning in green bonds. *Sustainability*, 13(8).
26. Croce, M., & Gonçalves, M. (2020). Green investment identification through machine learning. *Sustainability*, 12(17).
27. Das, A., & Sundararajan, V. (2021). Green bonds and sustainable investing using AI. *Journal of Investment and Financial Innovations*, 12(1).
28. Devaraju, N., et al. (2025). The role of Fintech in accelerating green finance in public sector banks. *RVIM Journal of Management Research*, 17(1).
29. Dev, D., et al. (2025). Sustainable finance in action: A comprehensive framework for policy and practice integration. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 103.
30. Dou, et al. (2025). ESG channels in portfolio optimization. *Annals of Operations Research*.
31. Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone.
32. Fang, Y., & Zhang, L. (2019). Machine learning algorithms for ESG investing. *Journal of Investment Management*, 17(2).
33. Galema, R., & Gerritsen, D. (2025). ESG rating changes and stock returns. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 154.
34. Geert, B., et al. (2023). Sustainable investment – Exploring the linkage between alpha, ESG, and SDGs. *Sustainable Development*, 31(5).
35. Gerged, A. M., et al. (2021). Institutional fragility and ESG adoption in emerging economies. *Journal of Financial Resilience*.
36. Gomber, P., et al. (2022). AI in banking risk analytics and ESG data processing. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*.
37. Hurst, M., & Burnett, R. (2020). The role of AI in ESG data analysis and sustainable finance. *Journal of Financial Data Science*, 2(3).
38. Jagtiani, J., & Lemieux, C. (2019). AI as a game-changer in banking risk analytics. *Federal Reserve Bank Report*.
39. Jangid, A., et al. (2024). Green finance initiatives and low-carbon development in India. *LBS Journal of Management & Research*, 23(2).
40. Ke, Y., et al. (2025). Mitigating environmental risks through national green finance. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*, 16(2).
41. Kotsantonis, S., & Serafeim, G. (2019). The Role of Machine Learning in ESG Investing. *Harvard Business Review*.
42. Kuang, H., et al. (2024). Green finance as a catalyst for growth in green industries. *Advanced Energy and Sustainability Research*.
43. Kumar, S., et al. (2025). Past, present, and future of sustainable finance: Insights from big data analytics. *Annals of Operations Research*, 345(2).
44. Lau, T. (2025). Reducing environmental footprints of AI-based financial services. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.

45. Li, Z., et al. (2025). Coupling coordination between green finance and low-carbon innovation. *Sustainability*, 17.
46. Malhotra, C., et al. (2025). Revolutionary role of AI in financial sector. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*, 16(2).
47. Manda, R., & Nihar, S. (2024). AI-driven chatbots in Indian private banks. *Industry Assessment*.
48. Mishra, N., et al. (2024). Sustainable AI testbeds in India's banking sector. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.
49. Mohsin, M., & Nasim, S. (2025). Predictive analytics for strategic financial planning. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*.
50. Nepal, S., et al. (2025). AI in credit risk assessment and fraud detection. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*.
51. Omri, A., et al. (2025). Green finance and sustainability: The mediating role of AI. *Journal of Financial Economics*.
52. Othayoth, A., & Khanna, R. (2025). AI-based chatbots in public sector banks. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.
53. Park, S., & Yoon, S. (2025). AI improves fintech efficiency and contributes to sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 17(1).
54. Ridwan, M., et al. (2024). Energy-efficient AI models and eco-friendly computing in banking. *Journal of Sustainable Computing*.
55. Salem, A., et al. (2025). ESG disclosures and AI-powered risk modeling systems. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*.
56. Secinaro, S., et al. (2025). AI and blockchain in regulatory compliance. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*.
57. Sharma, A., et al. (2025). Computational modeling approach to Fintech and sustainable development. *Bentham Books*.
58. Siddik, A. B., et al. (2025). AI tools and environmental sustainability performance in the banking sector. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*.
59. Singh, S., & Goyal, P. (2023). AI-driven ESG and carbon finance tools. *SSRN*.
60. Su, J., & Zhao, L. (2025). Integration of AI and blockchain in financial systems. *GNA Journal of Management & Technology*.